UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,180	01/05/2006	Stefan Deiss	041165-9089-00	5597
	7590 08/04/200 ST & FRIEDRICH LL:	EXAMINER		
	ISIN AVENUE	MESH, GENNADIY		
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEE,	, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/543,180	DEISS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	GENNADIY MESH	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a part of the may be seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilted will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examing 10.	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cornection. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasuo et al.(JP 06-247899) cited by Applicant.

Regarding Claims 1-8 Yasuo discloses method of continuous esterefication of terephthalic acid, wherein density of slurry composed of raw materials as terephthalic acid and ethylene glycol, continuously measured by densitometer (online type device - see [0013]) in order to detect and controlling (see [0012]) the molar ratio of ethylene glycol to terephthalic acid in the slurry, before slurry supplied to reaction zone - see abstract. Note, that reference does not rely on use of "weighing machine".

Regarding Claims 4, 6 and 7 Yasuo discloses that slurry flow rate also has to be measured and control (see [0013] and [0015]) in order to accommodated "the speed of supply ...of the slurry to slurry tub".

Note, that limitation of Claim 5 as "maximum of 20 % of the total amount of the liquid as added after paste preparation container "satisfied by zero amount of added. Therefore, reference does not need disclose any amount of liquid added after paste (slurry) preparation container.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuo et al.(JP 06-247899) in view of Millis (US 4,327,759 - reference cited by Applicant).

Discussion with respect to Yasuo et al.(JP 06-247899) above incorporated herein by reference.

As it was discussed above Yasuo discloses same basic process of controlling density and flow of slurry, comprising raw materials for polycondensation reaction, but not explicitly discloses automated closed-loop control process.

However, Millis teach that this closed- loop automated control process can be used in order to prepare slurry (see abstract) wherein desirable density can be achieved.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill use automated closed loop control method taught by Millis in order to obtained and control desirable density and flow of slurry in the process disclosed by Yasuo.

Art Unit: 1796

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GENNADIY MESH whose telephone number is (571)272-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 a.m - 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272 1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gennadiy Mesh Examiner Art Unit 1796

/GM/

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/543,180

Page 5

Art Unit: 1796